Approved For Release 2001/09/03 CA-RDP84-00709R000400070250 August 1947

OGC Has Reviewed

**MORAHION TO CAS

25X1A Attention:

25X1A

SECRETS

Subject : Travel Expenses of

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

1. Returned herealth is necessarium from FBT to ADSO vis CAE, dated 28 July 1947, corcerning the above subject. 25X1A Approval is requested for the payment of travel expenses incurred by Miss in transporting her personally at appears that owned car to her station at 1 25X1A returned to Washington from her home in Massachusetts on 16 June after having spont a period of time in Passachusetts on leave. Miss left her station at the end of april due to the illness of left her station 25X1A her nother. In the basis of the above facts, the general 25X1A rule is that Miss rust pay all expenses of travel to the United States and return, since 25X1A the travel was for her personal convenience and not in the interest of the Coverment. The question of reinbursement for expenses of shipment of personally owned automobiles by the organization is a separate question, and, legally, is not related to her emergency trip to the States and 25X1A return to

25X1A

2. It appears that FAT is attempting to justify reimburament to Miss in transporting her car from Mashington to on the theory that there exists authority for approval for the expense of shipment of such car at this time. 5.0. Directive No. 15 dated 6 May 1947 authorizes the reimbursement of the expenses of shipping s personally owned automobile where such shipment has been justified for the maintenance of gover and for reasons of operational necessity. There it is intended that a personally owned automobile be used solely for the personal convenionge of the individual owner and no question is presented of using the car at the foreign station on official business with reimbursement by the organization under S.C. Directive No. 10, the question of shipment at floverment expense must be considered as an insident of the travel of the employee involving an official change of station. In other words, at the time a letter of transfer is signed authorising the individual to traveland change of official station, authorination is provided, where appropriate, for the payment of

Approved For Release 2001/09/03 : CIA-RDP84-00709R000400070250

CAS

-0-

1 August 1947

transportation expenses of the immediate family, expenses of shipment of household goods and effects, and, where it is determined that a car is necessary for the maintenance of cover and for operational reasons, the shipment of such car at Coverment expense may also be authorized.

- In considering the Independent Offices Appropriation Act for 1946, approved 5 May 1945 (60 Stat. 181), it has been held that, if the transfer of an employee has been accomplished, the transportation of the families at Ocveroment expense may not be authorized subsequently. The Statute involved requires that the authorization for the transportation of the immediate families of employees upon the transfer of official station be in the order directing such travel (88 Comp. Com. 444, 18 December 1949). This same question was considered in 25 Comp. Gen. 372, 2 Hovember 1945, in which it is stated that orders authorising the transfer of an employee may not be amended to include the transportation of members of the immediate family at any time after the employee himself has departed from his old station. Although the Independent Offices Appropriation Act for 1946 is involved in the above decisions, the provisions of Public Law 600, 2 August 1946, are substantially the same on this point, i.e., an employee who is transferred from one official station to another shall when authorized in the order directing the travel be allowed and paid the expenses of travel of himself and expenses of transportation of his household goods and effects.
- Por reasons of security and operational necessity, CIO has, in effect, extended the provisions of Public Law 600 to include reimbursement of expenses of shipment of personally owned automobiles. However, the shipment of sutomobiles as in the case of transportation of issediate family, household goods and effects is morely an incident of the transfer of official station of the employee. nequently, it is the opinion of this office that where en employee has not been authorized in the priginal letter of transfer to ship or drive his automobile at Government expense there would be no authority to approve such expenses after the employee has performed the travel incident to his official change of station, This rule would not necessarily apply where it is determined by the approving authority (ADSO) that the automobile is to be used for official business, and reinbursement of expenses incurred by such use could be authorised under S.O. Directive No. 15.



CAS

1 August 1947

25X1A

5. In view of the above, it is the opinion of this office that, on the facts presented, there exists no authority for ADSO to approve the reimburs ment of expenses to Miss for transporting her car to since it not appear that such authorization was included in her original letter of transfer. Such expenses must be deemed personal to hiss and, in any event, she would be required to pay her can travel expenses from to her home in the United States and return.

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

Assistant Cameral Coursel

25X1A

100

JSW:mbt